

REMARKS:

Claims 1-39 are pending in the application. In the office action dated September 12, 2005, the Examiner objected to the specification because the cross-reference in paragraph 001 was incorrect. The Examiner also issued a restriction requirement between Species I shown in Figs. 1-14 and Species II shown in Fig. 15.

Applicant provisionally elects Species II (Fig. 15) with traverse. Claims 1-17 and 39 are generic.

Applicant would like to point out that the application as filed contains only 39 claims, not 40 as the Examiner stated in the Office Action.


In this amendment the cross-reference in paragraph 001 has been corrected to refer to the proper foreign priority documents.

Applicant traverses the election requirement as set forth by the Examiner, in that Figs. 1, 3-7, and 11-13 are not directed to a particular species, but represent generic views that are applicable to both species.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that the application as a whole is in form for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Authorization is granted to charge any outstanding fees due at this time for the continued prosecution of this matter to Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0310 (matter no. 060944-0200).

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica C. Stahnke (Reg. No. 57,570)  
for

Thomas D. Kohler (Reg. No. 32,797)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

One Market, Spear Street Tower

San Francisco, CA 94105

415.442.1000

October 12, 2005

Date